

Introduction

Welcome to the 2021 Traffic Simulation Survey. The TRB Standing Committee on Traffic Simulation (ACP80) is conducting this survey to get a better understanding of current and emerging uses of traffic simulation and the challenges faced by traffic simulation software users.

Which best describes your organization?

- National transportation agency/ministry
- State, provincial, or prefectural transportation agency/ministry
- Municipal or local government agency
- Toll bridge or toll road operator
- Public transportation agency
- Planning agency such as an MPO or RPC
- Consulting firm
- Academic or research institution
- Simulation software developer or reseller
- Other (please describe)

Where is the organization's primary base of operations?

- United States - AASHTO Region 1 (CT, DC, DE, MA, MD, ME, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
- United States - AASHTO Region 2 (AL, AR, FL, GA, KY, LA, MS, NC, PR, SC, TN, VA, WV)
- United States - AASHTO Region 3 (IA, IL, IN, KS, MI, MN, MO, OH, WI)
- United States - AASHTO Region 4 (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, ND, NE, NM, NV, OK, OR, SD, TX, UT, WA, WY)

- Canada
- Mexico, Central America, or Caribbean
- South America
- European Union (EU 27)
- United Kingdom
- Other Western Europe (non-EU)
- Eastern Europe (non-EU)
- Middle East or Northern Africa
- Sub-Saharan Africa
- China, Hong Kong, Macau, Singapore, or Taiwan
- Japan or South Korea
- Other Central, East, or Southeast Asia
- Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Pakistan, or Sri Lanka
- Australia or New Zealand
- Other Oceania
- Multinational

Organization Size

- 0 to 5 employees
- 6 to 10 employees
- 11 to 20 employees
- 21 to 50 employees
- 51 to 100 employees
- 101 to 200 employees
- 201 to 500 employees
- 501 employees or more

Please describe your role(s) in the organization (mark all that apply)

- Senior management
- Middle management

- Staff member with responsibility for application of simulation software
- Staff member with responsibility for review of simulation models developed by others
- Staff member with responsibility for interpretation/application of simulation results
- Professor/instructor
- Academic/research staff
- Student
- Microsimulation software developer
- Sales/marketing
- Other (please describe)

How many years of simulation modeling experience do you have?

- Less than one
- 1 or 2
- 3 to 5
- 6 to 10
- 11 to 15
- 15 to 20
- 21 or more

Which best describes your gender?

- Man
- Woman
- Non-binary / third gender
- Prefer not to say

In the past year, how many traffic simulation projects have you worked on?

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3 or 4
- 5 to 9
- 10 or more

Block 3

What were the main reasons you did not work on any simulation projects this year? (Please mark all that apply)

- No relevant projects or analysis needs
- Insufficient funding
- Work was done by others
- Need more technical training
- Need better technical guidelines
- Stakeholder resistance to simulation
- Dissatisfaction with previous simulation projects
- Other (please explain)

Modeling Experience 1

For the largest simulation project, what type of simulation was performed?

- Microscopic
- Mesoscopic
- Macroscopic

- Four-step travel demand forecasting
- Sketch-planning
- Multi-resolution modeling
- Other (please describe)

For the largest project, what was the approximate total centerline roadway distance that was simulated?

- Less than 1/2 mile (less than 1 km)
- 1/2 to 1 mile (1 to 2 km)
- 1 to 2 miles (2 to 3 km)
- 3 to 5 miles (4 to 8 km)
- 6 to 10 miles (9 to 16 km)
- 11 to 20 miles (17 to 34 km)
- 21 to 50 miles (35 to 80 km)
- 51 to 100 miles (81 to 160 km)
- 101 to 200 miles (161 to 320 km)
- 201 to 500 miles (321 to 800 km)
- 501 miles (801 km) or more
- Aviation facilities on air side only (behind security screening)
- Pedestrian facilities only
- Rail facilities only
- Other (please explain)

For the largest project, what features were included in the model?

- Freeway
- Divided highway (non-freeway)
- Rural undivided highway
- Suburban arterial street
- Urban arterial street

- Sub-arterial streets/highway
- Toll plazas
- Pedestrian paths
- Bicycle paths
- Roundabouts
- Traffic signals
- Ramp meters
- Intelligent transportation systems devices
- Connected/automated vehicles
- Electric vehicle charging infrastructure
- Public transportation infrastructure (bus stops, light rail, etc.)
- Freight rail infrastructure
- Air-side aviation infrastructure
- Other (please describe)

For the largest model, what were the main objectives of the study?

- Resolve existing problems with freeway operations
- Identify future problems with freeway operations
- Support detailed freeway design decisions
- Resolve existing problems with arterial operations
- Identify future problems with arterial operations
- Support detailed arterial design decisions
- Analyze traffic operations in work zones / support construction traffic management
- Analyze pedestrian circulation inside/near buildings
- Analyze bicyclist or pedestrian facilities on public streets/highways
- Analyze public transportation facilities (bus, passenger rail, etc.)
- Analyze air-side aviation facilities
- Analyze freight transportation facilities (road or rail)
- Other (please describe)

	No problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Serious problem	Severe problem	Extreme problem
Determining future motor vehicle traffic volumes	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Determining future pedestrian traffic volumes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reasonableness of future traffic speeds/delays, such as model "locking up"	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reasonableness of vehicle paths/route choices under future conditions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Maintaining consistency with other models or forecasts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Glitches in animations or other graphical outputs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Difficulty interpreting results	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lack of conclusive results	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Unrealistic stakeholder expectations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Stakeholder distrust or dissatisfaction with model	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Level of effort required to replicate existing physical layout	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Level of effort required to replicate existing traffic volumes, speeds, queues, or delays	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Level of effort required to replicate existing traffic patterns (origin-destination)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Level of effort required to model future conditions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other 1 (please explain)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other 2 (please explain)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



Modeling Experience 2

For the second-largest project, what type of simulation was performed?

- Microscopic
- Mesoscopic
- Macroscopic
- Four-step travel demand forecasting
- Sketch-planning
- Multi-resolution modeling
- Other (please describe)

For the second-largest project, what was the approximate total centerline roadway distance that was simulated?

- Less than 1/2 mile (less than 1 km)
- 1/2 to 1 mile (1 to 2 km)
- 1 to 2 miles (2 to 3 km)
- 3 to 5 miles (4 to 8 km)
- 6 to 10 miles (9 to 16 km)
- 11 to 20 miles (17 to 34 km)
- 21 to 50 miles (35 to 80 km)
- 51 to 100 miles (81 to 160 km)
- 101 to 200 miles (161 to 320 km)
- 201 to 500 miles (321 to 800 km)
- 501 miles (801 km) or more
- Aviation facilities on air side only (behind security screening)
- Pedestrian facilities only
- Rail facilities only
- Other (please explain)

For the second-largest project, what features were included in the model?

- Freeway
- Divided highway (non-freeway)
- Rural undivided highway
- Suburban arterial street
- Urban arterial street
- Sub-arterial streets/highway
- Toll plazas
- Pedestrian paths
- Bicycle paths
- Roundabouts
- Traffic signals
- Ramp meters
- Intelligent transportation systems devices
- Connected/automated vehicles
- Electric vehicle charging infrastructure
- Public transportation infrastructure (bus stops, light rail, etc.)
- Freight rail infrastructure
- Aviation infrastructure on air side (behind security screening)
- Other (please describe)

For the second-largest model, what were the main objectives of the study?

- Resolve existing problems with freeway operations
- Identify future problems with freeway operations
- Support detailed freeway design decisions
- Resolve existing problems with arterial operations
- Identify future problems with arterial operations
- Support detailed arterial design decisions
- Analyze traffic operations in work zones / support construction traffic management

Level of effort required to replicate existing traffic patterns (origin-destination)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	No problem	Minor problem	Moderate problem	Serious problem	Severe problem	Extreme problem
Level of effort required to model future conditions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other 1 (please explain)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>						
Other 2 (please explain)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>						



Block 4

Which activities should the ACP80 committee emphasize over the next year? (Choose up to 3)

- Publication of technical guidelines such as the Traffic Systems Simulation Manual (TSSM)
- Webinars with high-level overviews of simulation projects and applications
- Webinars about when to use simulation (strengths, weaknesses, alternatives)
- Webinars about the technical process of building and calibrating simulation models
- Webinars about simulation research
- Other (please describe)